

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/715,390 | 11/19/2003 | Shigeo Tanaka | 032116 | 5463 |
| 38834 | 7590 06/28/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| | N, HATTORI, DAN CTICUT AVENUE, NV | BERHANE, ADOLF D | | |
| SUITE 700 | CITCOI AVENOE, INV | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGTO | ON, DC 20036 | | 2838 | |

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | \. L |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 10/715,390 | TANAKA ET AL. | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| 4 | Adolf Berhane | 2838 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 13 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | E FIRST REPLY WAS F | ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | onsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>The new limitation in claims 14 and 15</u> . (S | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended. | ill be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>5-11</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 12-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida | otice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | - | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: |

Adolf Bernane Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2838

13. Other: ____.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the elements and the teaching are both shown in the prior art and the arguments are not persuasive..